

# OBSERVATIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

After reviewing data collected from **Cobbetts Pond, Windham**, the program coordinators have made the following observations and recommendations.

We congratulate your group for sampling your **pond once** this summer. However, we encourage your monitoring group to sample **additional** times each summer. Typically, we recommend that monitoring groups sample **three times** per summer (once in **June, July**, and **August**). We understand that the number of sampling events you decide to conduct per summer will depend upon volunteer availability, and your monitoring group's goals and funding availability. However, with a limited amount of data it is difficult to determine accurate and representative water quality trends. Since weather patterns and activity in the watershed can change throughout the summer, from year to year, and even from hour to hour during a rain event, it is a good idea to sample the pond at least once per month during the summer.

If you are having difficulty finding volunteers to help sample or to travel to one of the laboratories, please call the VLAP Coordinator and DES will help you work out an arrangement.

We encourage your monitoring group to formally participate in the DES Weed Watchers program, a volunteer program dedicated to monitoring lakes and ponds for the presence of exotic aquatic plants. This program only involves a small amount of time during the summer months. Volunteers survey their waterbody once a month from **May** through **September**. To survey, volunteers slowly boat, or even snorkel, around the perimeter of the waterbody and any islands it may contain. Using the materials provided in the Weed Watcher kit, volunteers look for any species that are suspicious. After a trip or two around the waterbody, volunteers will have a good knowledge of its plant community and will immediately notice even the most subtle changes. If a suspicious plant is found, the volunteers immediately send a specimen to DES for identification. If the plant specimen is an exotic species, a biologist will visit the site to determine the extent of the problem and to formulate a management plan to control the nuisance infestation. Remember that early detection is the key to controlling the spread of exotic plants.

If you would like to help protect your lake or pond from exotic plant infestations, contact Amy Smagula, Exotic Species Program Coordinator, at 271-2248 or visit the Weed Watchers website at [www.des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/exoticspecies/weed\\_watcher.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/exoticspecies/weed_watcher.htm).

## FIGURE INTERPRETATION

### CHLOROPHYLL-A

- **Figure 1 and Table 1:** Figure 1 in Appendix A shows the historical and current year chlorophyll-a concentration in the water column. Table 1 in Appendix B lists the maximum, minimum, and mean concentration for each sampling year that the pond has been monitored through VLAP.

Chlorophyll-a, a pigment found in plants, is an indicator of the algal abundance. Algae are typically microscopic plants that are naturally occurring in lake ecosystems and contain chlorophyll-a. The chlorophyll-a concentration measured in the water gives biologists an estimation of the algal concentration or lake productivity. **The median summer chlorophyll-a concentration for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 4.58 mg/m<sup>3</sup>.**

#### STATION 1

The current year data (the top graph) show that the chlorophyll-a concentration was **8.26 mg/m<sup>3</sup>** in **May**.

The historical data (the bottom graph) show that the **2008** chlorophyll-a mean is ***much greater than*** the state and similar lake medians. For more information on the similar lake median, refer to Appendix F.

#### STATION 2

The current year data (the top graph) show that the chlorophyll-a concentration was **7.13 mg/m<sup>3</sup>** in **May**.

The historical data (the bottom graph) show that the **2008** chlorophyll-a mean is ***much greater than*** the state and similar lake medians. For more information on the similar lake median, refer to Appendix F.

## STATIONS 1 AND 2

Overall, the statistical analysis of the historical data shows that the chlorophyll-a concentration has **significantly increased** (meaning **worsened**) on average by **approximately 4.346 (Station 1) and 3.412 (Station 1) percent** per year during the sampling period **1988 to 2008**. Please refer to Appendix E for the statistical analysis explanation and data print-out.

While algae are naturally present in all ponds, an excessive or increasing amount of any type is not welcomed. In freshwater ponds, phosphorus is the nutrient that algae typically depend upon for growth in New Hampshire lakes. Algal concentrations may increase as nonpoint sources of phosphorus from the watershed increase, or as in-lake phosphorus sources increase. Therefore, it is extremely important for volunteer monitors to continually educate all watershed residents about management practices that can be implemented to minimize phosphorus loading to surface waters.

## TRANSPARENCY

- **Figure 2 and Tables 3a and 3b:** Figure 2 in Appendix A shows the historical and current year data for transparency with and without the use of a viewscope. Table 3a in Appendix B lists the maximum, minimum and mean transparency data without the use of a viewscope and Table 3b lists the maximum, minimum and mean transparency data with the use of a viewscope for each year that the pond has been monitored through VLAP.

Volunteer monitors use the Secchi disk, a 20 cm disk with alternating black and white quadrants, to measure how far a person can see into the water. Transparency, a measure of water clarity, can be affected by the amount of algae and sediment in the water, as well as the natural color of the water. **The median summer transparency for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 3.2 meters.**

## STATION 1

The current year data (the top graph) show that the non-viewscope in-lake transparency was **3.38 meters** in **May**.

The historical data (the bottom graph) show that the **2008** mean non-viewscope transparency is **slightly greater than** the state median and is **much less than** the similar lake median. Please refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median.

## STATION 2

The current year data (the top graph) show that the non-viewscope in-lake transparency was **2.75 meters** in **May**.

The historical data (the bottom graph) show that the **2008** mean non-viewscope transparency is ***slightly less than*** the state median and is ***much less than*** the similar lake median. Please refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median.

### **STATIONS 1 AND 2**

The current year data (the top graph) show that the viewscope in-lake transparency was ***slightly greater than*** the non-viewscope transparency on the **May** sampling event. As discussed previously, a comparison of transparency readings taken with and without the use of a viewscope shows that the viewscope typically increases the depth to which the Secchi disk can be seen into the lake, particularly on sunny and windy days. We recommend that your group measure Secchi disk transparency with and without the viewscope on each sampling event.

It is important to note that viewscope transparency data are not compared to a New Hampshire median or similar lake median. This is because lake transparency with the use of a viewscope has not been historically measured by DES. At some point in the future, the New Hampshire and similar lake medians for viewscope transparency will be calculated and added to the appropriate graphs.

Overall, the statistical analysis of the historical data shows that the non-viewscope transparency has ***significantly decreased*** (meaning ***worsened***) on average by ***approximately 2.163 (Station 1) and 1.689 (Station 2) percent*** per year during the sampling period **1988 to 2008**. Please refer to Appendix E for the statistical analysis explanation and data print-out.

Typically, high intensity rainfall causes sediment-laden stormwater runoff to flow into surface waters, thus increasing turbidity and decreasing clarity. Efforts should continually be made to stabilize stream banks, pond shorelines, disturbed soils within the watershed, and especially dirt roads located immediately adjacent to the edge of tributaries and the pond. Guides to best management practices that can be implemented to reduce, and possibly even eliminate, nonpoint source pollutants, are available from DES upon request.

## TOTAL PHOSPHORUS

- **Figure 3 and Table 8:** The graphs in Figure 3 in Appendix A show the amount of epilimnetic (upper layer) phosphorus and hypolimnetic (lower layer) phosphorus; the inset graphs show current year data. Table 8 in Appendix B lists the annual maximum, minimum, and median concentration for each deep spot layer and each tributary since the pond has been sampled through VLAP.

Phosphorus is typically the limiting nutrient for vascular plant and algae growth in New Hampshire's lakes and ponds. Excessive phosphorus in a pond can lead to increased plant and algal growth over time. **The median summer total phosphorus concentration in the epilimnion (upper layer) of New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 12 ug/L. The median summer phosphorus concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer) is 14 ug/L.**

### STATIONS 1 AND 2

The current year data for the epilimnion (the top inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentrations were **12 ug/L (Station 1) and 13 ug/L (Station 2) in May.**

The historical data show that the **2008** mean epilimnetic phosphorus concentrations are ***approximately equal to*** the state median and ***greater than*** the similar lake median. Refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median.

The current year data for the hypolimnion (the bottom inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentrations were **15 ug/L (Station 1) and 26 ug/L (Station 2) in May.**

The historical data show that the **2008** mean hypolimnetic phosphorus concentration is ***slightly greater than*** the state and similar lake medians at **Station 1** and ***much greater than*** the state and similar lake medians at **Station 2**. Please refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median.

Overall, the statistical analysis of the historical data shows that the phosphorus concentration in the epilimnion (upper layer) has ***significantly increased*** (meaning ***worsened***) on average by ***approximately 3.171 (Station 1) and 3.491 (Station 2) percent*** per year during the sampling period **1988 to 2008**. Please refer to Appendix E for the statistical analysis explanation and data print-out.

Overall, the statistical analysis of the historical data shows that the phosphorus concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer) has ***significantly increased*** (meaning ***worsened***) on average at a rate of ***approximately 4.934 (Station 1) and 5.880 (Station 2) percent***

per year during the sampling period **1988 to 2008**. Please refer to Appendix E for the statistical analysis explanation and data print-out.

One of the most important approaches to reducing phosphorus loading to a waterbody is to continually educate watershed residents about the watershed sources of phosphorus and how excessive phosphorus loading can negatively affect the ecology and the recreational, economical, and ecological value of lakes and ponds.

#### TABLE INTERPRETATION

➤ **Table 2: Phytoplankton**

Table 2 in Appendix B lists the current and historical phytoplankton and/or cyanobacteria observed in the pond. Specifically, this table lists the three most dominant phytoplankton and/or cyanobacteria observed in the sample and their relative abundance in the sample.

The dominant phytoplankton and/or cyanobacteria observed at **Station 1** in the **May** sample were ***Synedra (Diatom)***, ***Rhizosolenia (Diatom)***, and ***Anabaena (Cyanobacteria)***.

The dominant phytoplankton and/or cyanobacteria observed at **Station 2** in the **May** sample were ***Synedra (Diatom)***, ***Rhizosolenia (Diatom)***, and ***Dinobryon (Golden-Brown)***.

Phytoplankton populations undergo a natural succession during the growing season. Please refer to the “Biological Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation regarding seasonal plankton succession. Diatoms and golden-brown algae populations are typical in New Hampshire’s less productive lakes and ponds.

➤ **Table 2: Cyanobacteria**

A **moderate amount *Anabaena*** was observed in the **May** plankton sample. ***This cyanobacteria, if present in large amounts, can be toxic to livestock, wildlife, pets, and humans.*** Please refer to the “Biological Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation regarding cyanobacteria.

Cyanobacteria can reach nuisance levels when phosphorus loading from the watershed to surface waters is increased and favorable environmental conditions occur, such as a period of sunny, warm weather.

The presence of cyanobacteria serves as a reminder of the pond’s

delicate balance. Watershed residents should continue to act proactively to reduce nutrient loading to the pond by eliminating fertilizer use on lawns, keeping the pond shoreline natural, re-vegetating cleared areas within the watershed, and properly maintaining septic systems and roads.

In addition, residents should also observe the pond in September and October during the time of fall turnover (lake mixing) to document any algal blooms that may occur. Cyanobacteria have the ability to regulate their depth in the water column by producing or releasing gas from vesicles. However, occasionally lake mixing can affect their buoyancy and cause them to rise to the surface and bloom. Wind and currents tend to “pile” cyanobacteria into scums that accumulate in one section of the pond. If a fall bloom occurs, please collect a sample in any clean jar or bottle and contact the VLAP Coordinator.

➤ **Table 4: pH**

Table 4 in Appendix B presents the in-lake and tributary current year and historical pH data.

pH is measured on a logarithmic scale of 0 (acidic) to 14 (basic). pH is important to the survival and reproduction of fish and other aquatic life. A pH below 6.0 typically limits the growth and reproduction of fish. A pH between 6.0 and 7.0 is ideal for fish. The median pH value for the epilimnion (upper layer) in New Hampshire’s lakes and ponds is **6.6**, which indicates that the state surface waters are slightly acidic. For a more detailed explanation regarding pH, please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report.

## **STATIONS 1 AND 2**

The mean pH at the deep spots this year ranged from **6.41 to 6.55** in the hypolimnion to **7.11 to 7.33** in the epilimnion, which means that the hypolimnion is ***slightly acidic*** and the epilimnion is ***slightly basic***.

It is important to point out that the hypolimnetic (lower layer) pH was ***lower (more acidic)*** than in the epilimnion (upper layer). This increase in acidity near the pond bottom is likely due to the decomposition of organic matter and the release of acidic by-products into the water column.

Due to the state’s abundance of granite bedrock and acid deposition received from snowmelt, rainfall, and atmospheric particulates, there is little that can be feasibly done to effectively increase pond pH.

➤ **Table 5: Acid Neutralizing Capacity**

Table 5 in Appendix B presents the current year and historical epilimnetic ANC for each year the pond has been monitored through VLAP.

Buffering capacity (ANC) describes the ability of a solution to resist changes in pH by neutralizing the acidic input. The median ANC value for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **4.8 mg/L**, which indicates that many lakes and ponds in the state are at least "moderately vulnerable" to acidic inputs. For a more detailed explanation about ANC, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

**STATIONS 1 AND 2**

The mean acid neutralizing capacity (ANC) of the epilimnion (upper layer) ranged from **20.8 to 21.8 mg/L**, which is ***much greater than*** the state median. In addition, this indicates that the pond has a ***low vulnerability*** to acidic inputs.

➤ **Table 6: Conductivity**

Table 6 in Appendix B presents the current and historical conductivity values for tributaries and in-lake data. Conductivity is the numerical expression of the ability of water to carry an electric current, which is determined by the number of negatively charged ions from metals, salts, and minerals in the water column. The median conductivity value for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **38.4 uMhos/cm**. For a more detailed explanation, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

**STATIONS 1 AND 2**

The mean annual epilimnetic conductivity at the deep spot this year ranged from **284 to 303 uMhos/cm**, which is ***much greater than*** the state median.

The conductivity continued to remain ***much greater than*** the state median in the pond and tributaries this year. Typically, elevated conductivity indicates the influence of pollutant sources associated with human activities. These sources include failed or marginally functioning septic systems, agricultural runoff, and road runoff, which contains road salt during the spring snow-melt. New development in the watershed can alter runoff patterns and expose new soil and bedrock areas, which could also contribute to increasing conductivity. In addition, natural sources, such as iron and



manganese deposits in bedrock, can influence conductivity.

We recommend that your monitoring group conduct stream surveys and rain event sampling along the tributaries with **elevated** conductivity so that we can determine what may be causing the increases.

*For a detailed explanation on how to conduct rain event sampling and stream surveys, please refer to the 2002 VLAP Annual Report special topic article, which is posted on the VLAP website at <http://www.des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/vlap/categories/publications.htm>, or contact the VLAP Coordinator.*

We also recommend that your monitoring group conduct a shoreline conductivity survey of the lake and the tributaries with **elevated** conductivity to help identify the sources of conductivity.

*To learn how to conduct a shoreline or tributary conductivity survey, please refer to the 2004 special topic article, which is posted on the VLAP website at <http://www.des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/vlap/categories/publications.htm> or contact the VLAP Coordinator.*

It is likely that de-icing materials applied to nearby roadways during the winter months may be influencing the conductivity in the pond. The most commonly used de-icing material in New Hampshire is salt (sodium chloride).

*A limited amount of chloride sampling was conducted during **2008**. Please refer to the discussion of **Table 13** for more information.*

Therefore, we recommend that the **epilimnion** and the **tributaries** be sampled for chloride next year. This additional sampling may help us identify what areas of the watershed are contributing to the increasing in-lake conductivity.

*Please note that the DES Limnology Center in Concord is able to conduct chloride analyses, free of charge. As a reminder, it is best to conduct chloride sampling in the spring as the snow is melting and during rain events.*

➤ **Table 7a and Table 7b: Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen and Nitrite+Nitrate Nitrogen**

Table 7a in Appendix B presents the current year and historical Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen and Table 7b presents the current year and historical nitrite and nitrate nitrogen. Nitrogen is another nutrient that is essential for the growth of plants and algae. Nitrogen is

typically the limiting nutrient in estuaries and coastal ecosystems. However, in freshwater, nitrogen is not typically the limiting nutrient. Therefore, nitrogen is not typically sampled through VLAP. However, if phosphorus concentrations in freshwater are elevated, then nitrogen loading may stimulate additional plant and algal growth. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

During the most recent DES Lake Assessment Program survey, which was conducted during Summer **2003**, the ratio of the total nitrogen concentration to total phosphorus (TN:TP) concentration in the epilimnion sample was **48 (Station 1) and 53 (Station 2)**, which is **greater than 15**, indicating that the pond is **phosphorus-limited**. This means that any additional **phosphorus** loading to the pond will stimulate additional plant and algal growth. Therefore, it is not critical to conduct nitrogen sampling.

➤ **Table 8: Total Phosphorus**

Table 8 in Appendix B presents the current year and historical total phosphorus data for in-lake and tributary stations. Phosphorus is the nutrient that limits the algae’s ability to grow and reproduce. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The phosphorus concentration in the **tributaries** was **relatively low** this year, which is good news. However, we recommend that your monitoring group sample the major tributaries to the pond during snow-melt and periodically during rainstorms to determine if the phosphorus concentration is **elevated** in the tributaries during these times. Typically, the majority of nutrient loading to a pond occurs in the spring during snow-melt and during intense rainstorms that cause soil erosion and surface runoff and within the watershed.

*For a detailed explanation on how to conduct rain event sampling and stream surveys, please refer to the 2002 VLAP Annual Report special topic article, which is posted on the VLAP website at <http://www.des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/vlap/categories/publications.htm>, or contact the VLAP Coordinator.*

The total phosphorus concentration in the **Monson Inlet** was **elevated (51 ug/L)** on the **May** sampling event. The turbidity of the sample was also **elevated (21.1 NTUs)**, which suggests that the stream bottom may have been disturbed while sampling or that erosion is occurring in the watershed.

When the stream bottom is disturbed, phosphorus rich sediment is

released into the water column. When collecting tributary samples, please be sure to sample where the tributary is flowing and where the stream is deep enough to collect a “clean” sample free from organic debris and sediment.

If you suspect that erosion is occurring in this area of the watershed, we recommend that your monitoring group conduct a stream survey and rain event sampling along this tributary. This additional sampling may allow us to determine what is causing the **elevated** levels of turbidity and phosphorus.

*For a detailed explanation on how to conduct rain event sampling and stream surveys, please refer to the 2002 VLAP Annual Report special topic article, which is posted on the VLAP website at <http://www.des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/vlap/categories/publications.htm>, or contact the VLAP Coordinator.*

➤ **Table 9 and Table 10: Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature Data**

Table 9 in Appendix B shows the dissolved oxygen/temperature profile(s) collected during **2008**. Table 10 in Appendix B shows the historical and current year dissolved oxygen concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer). The presence of sufficient amounts of dissolved oxygen in the water column is vital to fish and amphibians and bottom-dwelling organisms. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The dissolved oxygen concentration at **Station 1** was greater than **100 percent** saturation between **one** and **seven** meters at the deep spot on the **May** sampling event. Wave action from wind can also dissolve atmospheric oxygen into the upper layers of the water column. Layers of algae can also increase the dissolved oxygen in the water column, since oxygen is a by-product of photosynthesis. Considering that the depth to which sunlight could penetrate into the water column was approximately **3.5** meters on this sampling event, as shown by the Secchi disk transparency depth, and that the metalimnion, the layer of rapid decrease in water temperature and increase in water density where algae typically congregate, was located between approximately **five** and **seven** meters, we suspect that an abundance of algae in the metalimnion caused the oxygen super-saturation.

The dissolved oxygen concentration was **lower in the hypolimnion (lower layer) than in the epilimnion (upper layer)** at the deep spot on the **May** sampling event. As stratified ponds age, and as the summer progresses, oxygen typically becomes **depleted** in the hypolimnion by the process of decomposition. Specifically, the

reduction of hypolimnetic oxygen is primarily a result of biological organisms using oxygen to break down organic matter, both in the water column and particularly at the bottom of the pond where the water meets the sediment. When the hypolimnetic oxygen concentration is depleted to less than 1 mg/L, the phosphorus that is normally bound up in the sediment may be re-released into the water column, a process referred to as **internal phosphorus loading**.

**Lower** hypolimnetic oxygen levels are a sign of the pond's **aging** and **declining** health. This year the DES biologist collected the dissolved oxygen profile in **May**. We recommend that the annual biologist visit for the **2009** sampling year be scheduled during **August** so that we can determine if oxygen is depleted in the hypolimnion **later** in the sampling year.

A dissolved oxygen profile was not conducted at **Station 2** due to high winds, wave action, and drifting.

➤ **Table 11: Turbidity**

Table 11 in Appendix B lists the current year and historical data for in-lake and tributary turbidity. Turbidity in the water is caused by suspended matter, such as clay, silt, and algae. Water clarity is strongly influenced by turbidity. Please refer to the "Other Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The tributary and deep spot turbidity was **relatively low** this year, which is good news.

However, we recommend that your group sample the pond and any surface water runoff areas during significant rain events to determine if stormwater runoff contributes turbidity and phosphorus to the pond.

*For a detailed explanation on how to conduct rain event sampling, please refer to the 2002 VLAP Annual Report special topic article, which is posted on the VLAP website at*

***<http://www.des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/vlap/categories/publications.htm>***, or contact the VLAP Coordinator.

The turbidity in the **Monson Inlet** sample was **elevated (21.1 NTUs)** on the **May** sampling event, which suggests that the stream bottom may have been disturbed while sampling or that erosion is occurring in this area of the watershed. When the stream bottom is disturbed, sediment, which typically contains attached phosphorus, is released into the water column. When collecting samples in the tributaries, please be sure to sample where the stream is flowing and where the

stream is deep enough to collect a “clean” sample free from debris and sediment.

If you suspect that erosion is occurring in this area of the watershed, we recommend that your monitoring group conduct a stream survey and rain event sampling along this tributary. This additional sampling may allow us to determine what is causing the **elevated** levels of turbidity.

*For a detailed explanation on how to conduct rain event sampling and stream surveys, please refer to the 2002 VLAP Annual Report special topic article, which is posted on the VLAP website at <http://www.des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/vlap/categories/publications.htm>, or contact the VLAP Coordinator.*

➤ **Table 12: Bacteria (*E.coli*)**

Table 12 in Appendix B lists the current year and historical data for bacteria (*E.coli*) testing. *E. coli* is a normal bacterium found in the large intestine of humans and other warm-blooded animals. *E.coli* is used as an indicator organism because it is easily cultured and its presence in the water, in defined amounts, indicates that sewage **may** be present. If sewage is present in the water, potentially harmful disease-causing organisms **may** also be present.

The *E. coli* concentration was **relatively low** at each station sampled on the **May** sampling event. Specifically, each result was **110 counts or less**, which is **less than** the state standard of 406 counts per 100 mL for recreational surface waters that are not designated public beaches.

➤ **Table 13: Chloride**

Table 13 in Appendix B lists the current year and the historical data for chloride sampling. The chloride ion (Cl<sup>-</sup>) is found naturally in some surfacewaters and groundwaters and in high concentrations in seawater. Research has shown that elevated chloride levels can be toxic to freshwater aquatic life. In order to protect freshwater aquatic life in New Hampshire, the state has adopted **acute and chronic** chloride criteria of **860 and 230 mg/L** respectively. The chloride content in New Hampshire lakes is naturally low, generally less than 2 mg/L in surface waters located in remote areas away from habitation. The median epilimnetic chloride value for New Hampshire lakes and ponds is **5 mg/L**. Higher values are generally associated with salted highways and, to a lesser extent, with septic inputs. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The **epilimnion** was sampled for chloride during the **May** sampling event. The results were **78 (Station 1) and 88 (Station 2) mg/L**, which is ***slightly less than*** the state chronic chloride criteria and ***much less than*** the state acute chloride criteria. However, this concentration is ***much greater than*** what we would normally expect to measure in undisturbed New Hampshire surface waters.

The **Bella Vista, Connie's Brook, Castleton Culvert, Community Beach, Dinsmore Brook, Fossa Rd. Inlet, Monson Inlet, Mueller Stream, Sawyer Inlet, and Town Beach** tributaries were sampled for chloride on the **May** sampling event. The result were **71, 94, 140, 68, 110, 130, 120, 110, 73 and 71 mg/L**, which is ***slightly less than*** the state chronic chloride criteria and ***much less than*** the state acute chloride criteria.

We recommend that your monitoring group continue to conduct chloride sampling in the epilimnion at the deep spot and in the tributaries near salted roadways, particularly in the spring soon during snow-melt and during rain events during the summer. This will establish a baseline of data that will assist your monitoring group and DES to determine lake quality trends in the future.

*Please note that chloride analyses can be run free of charge at the DES Limnology Center. Please contact the VLAP Coordinator if you are interested in chloride monitoring. In addition, it is best to conduct chloride sampling in the spring as the snow is melting and during rain events.*

In addition, if your group is concerned about salt use on a particular roadway, we recommend contacting the town road agent or the Department of Transportation to discuss the implementation of a low-salt area near the lake and/or its major tributaries. We also recommend that your group work with watershed residents to reduce the application of chloride containing de-icing agents to driveways and walkways.

*To learn more about conductivity and chloride pollution and what can be done about to minimize it, please refer to the 2004 VLAP Annual Report special topic article, which is posted on the VLAP website at <http://www.des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/vlap/categories/publications.htm>, or contact the VLAP Coordinator.*

- **Table 14: Current Year Biological and Chemical Raw Data**  
Table 14 in Appendix B lists the most current sampling year results. Since the maximum, minimum, and annual mean values for each

parameter are not shown on this table, this table displays the current year “raw,” meaning unprocessed, data. The results are sorted by station, depth, and then parameter.

➤ **Table 15: Station Table**

As of the spring of 2004, all historical and current year VLAP data are included in the DES Environmental Monitoring Database (EMD). To facilitate the transfer of VLAP data into the EMD, a new station identification system had to be developed. While volunteer monitoring groups can still use the sampling station names that they have used in the past and are most familiar with, an EMD station name also exists for each VLAP sampling location. Table 15 in Appendix B identifies what EMD station name corresponds to the station names you have used in the past and will continue to use in the future.

## **DATA QUALITY ASSURANCE AND CONTROL**

### **Annual Assessment Audit:**

During the annual visit to your pond, the biologist conducted a sampling procedures assessment audit for your monitoring group. Specifically, the biologist observed the performance of your monitoring group and completed an assessment audit sheet to document the volunteer monitors’ ability to follow the proper field sampling procedures, as outlined in the VLAP Monitor’s Field Manual. This assessment is used to identify any aspects of sample collection in which volunteer monitors failed to follow proper procedures, and also provides an opportunity for the biologist to retrain the volunteer monitors as necessary. This will ultimately ensure samples that the volunteer monitors collect are truly representative of actual lake and tributary conditions.

Overall, your monitoring group did an **excellent** job collecting samples on the annual biologist visit this year! Specifically, the members of your monitoring group followed the proper field sampling procedures and there was no need for the biologist to provide additional training. Keep up the good work!

## **USEFUL RESOURCES**

*Best Management Practices to Control Nonpoint Source Pollution: A Guide for Citizens and Town Officials*, DES Booklet WD-03-42, (603) 271-2975 or

[www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/publications/wd/documents/wd-03-42.pdf](http://www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/publications/wd/documents/wd-03-42.pdf).

*Cyanobacteria in New Hampshire Waters Potential Dangers of Blue-Green Algae Blooms*, DES fact sheet WMB-10, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/wmb/documents/wmb-10.pdf](http://www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/wmb/documents/wmb-10.pdf).

*Erosion Control for Construction in the Protected Shoreland Buffer Zone*, DES fact sheet WD-SP-1, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/sp/documents/sp-1.pdf](http://www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/sp/documents/sp-1.pdf).

*Impacts of Development Upon Stormwater Runoff*, DES fact sheet WD-WQE-7, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/aot/documents/wqe-7.pdf](http://www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/aot/documents/wqe-7.pdf).

*Lake Protection Tips: Some Do's and Don'ts for Maintaining Healthy Lakes*, DES fact sheet WD-BB-9, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/bb/documents/bb-9.pdf](http://www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/bb/documents/bb-9.pdf).

*Low Impact Development: Taking Steps to Protect New Hampshire's Surface Waters*, DES fact sheet WD-WMB-17, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/wmb/documents/wmb-17.pdf](http://www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/wmb/documents/wmb-17.pdf).

*Proper Lawn Care In the Protected Shoreland, The Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act*, DES fact sheet WD-SP-2, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/sp/documents/sp-2.pdf](http://www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/sp/documents/sp-2.pdf).

*Road Salt and Water Quality*, DES fact sheet WD-WMB-4, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/wmb/documents/wmb-4.pdf](http://www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/wmb/documents/wmb-4.pdf).

*Shorelands Under the Jurisdiction of the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act*, DES fact sheet SP-4, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/sp/documents/sp-4.pdf](http://www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/sp/documents/sp-4.pdf).

*Soil Erosion and Sediment Control on Construction Sites*, DES fact sheet WQE-6, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/aot/documents/wqe-6.pdf](http://www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/aot/documents/wqe-6.pdf).



*Weed Watchers: An Association to Halt the Spread of Exotic Aquatic Plants*, DES fact sheet WD-BB-4, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/bb/documents/bb-4.pdf](http://www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/bb/documents/bb-4.pdf).

*Watershed Districts and Ordinances*, DES fact sheet WD-WMB-16, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/wmb/documents/wmb-16.pdf](http://www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/wmb/documents/wmb-16.pdf).